



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_10036
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinant of Matrix by Order Condensation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment (i) The abstract should be rewritten in correct form. (ii) The Chio's method for evaluating determinants by condensation should be taken into account. (iii) The implementation of the algorithm should be considered. (iv) <u>A COMPUTER PROGRAM BASED ON THE ALGORITHM SHOULD BE INCLUDED.</u>	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(i) The abstract should be rewritten in correct form. (ii) The Chio's method for evaluating determinants by condensation should be taken into account. (iii) The implementation of the algorithm should be considered. (iv) <u>A COMPUTER PROGRAM BASED ON THE ALGORITHM SHOULD BE INCLUDED.</u>	(i) The abstract has been corrected and rewritten. (ii) Chio's method is briefly mentioned and refer to as Ref.(2). (iii) The implementation of the algorithm has already been included in the manuscript. (iv) The computer program listing in MATLAB is included in Sec. 4.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		